

Section 1. EPP Profile Updates in AIMS

---

2025 Annual Accreditation Report : Annual Accreditation Report : Section 1. EPP Profile Updates in AIMS

**1.1. I confirm that the EPP has listed the correct contact information for both individuals designated as "EPP Primary" and "EPP Secondary" under the Role section for the EPP.**

- Agree  
 Disagree

**1.2. I confirm that the information displayed in the EPP Details tab (including mailing address, EPP name, Carnegie classification, EPP type, religious affiliation, language of instruction, and institutional accreditation) is up-to-date and accurately reflected in AIMS 2.0. Additionally, I confirm that the EPP Locations tab accurately reflects the EPP's main campus, as well as any associated branch campuses or auxiliary locations.**

- Agree  
 Disagree

**1.3. I confirm that EPP s licensure area listings [including program name, degree level, licensure level, licensure (program) category, and selected program review option for your CAEP Review] are up-to-date and accurately reflected in AIMS 2.0 for all licensure areas that fall within CAEP s scope of accreditation.**

- Agree  
 Disagree

**AR Reviewer Question 1.1 Did the EPP provide updated contacts with at least one EPP Primary and one EPP Secondary Contact?**

- Yes  
 No

**AR Reviewer Question 1.2 Did the EPP provide updated EPP Details information including noting all EPP Locations?**

- Yes  
 No

**AR Reviewer Question 1.3 Did the EPP provide updated information for all programs under the EPP Programs section?**

-

Yes

No

Section 2. EPP s Program Graduates [Academic Year 2023-2024]

---

2025 Annual Accreditation Report : Annual Accreditation Report :  
Section 2. EPP s Program Graduates [Academic Year 2023-2024]

**2.1. Total number of candidates who graduated from programs that prepared them to work in P-12 settings during Academic Year 2023-2024. Enter a numeric value for each textbox below. Note that some fields will be automatically calculated or prepopulated with last year's data.**

**2.1.1 What is the number of graduates in programs leading to initial teacher certification or licensure?**

For a description of the scope for initial-licensure level and advanced level programs, see Policy II in the [CAEP Accreditation Policies and Procedures](#).

58

**Previous Year Number of initial-licensure level Graduates:**

60

**2.1.2 What is the number of graduates in advanced programs or programs leading to a degree, endorsement, or some other credential that prepares the holder to serve in P-12 schools? Please do not include those completers counted above.**

For a description of the scope for initial-licensure level and advanced level programs, see Policy II in the [CAEP Accreditation Policies and Procedures](#).

44

**Previous Year Number of advanced level Graduates:**

45

**Total number of program graduates**

---

102.00

**Previous Year Total Number of Graduates:**

105.00

**AR Reviewer Question 2.1. Comparing the EPP s reported completer numbers from this year to last year, has the EPP changed fee brackets with CAEP? [No EPP action is required, unless the EPP finds the reported numbers to be in error.]**

Fee Brackets based on completer numbers:

1. 0-50
2. 51-150
3. 151-300
4. 301-500
5. 501-1000
6. 1000+
7. International

Yes

No

---

**Section 3. Substantive Changes**

**2025 Annual Accreditation Report : Annual Accreditation Report : Section 3. Substantive Changes**

**Please report on any of the following substantive changes that may have occurred at your Educator Preparation Provider (EPP) or institution/organization since the submission of the prior year s Annual Accreditation Report.**

**3.1. Has there been any change in the EPP s legal status, form of control, or ownership?**

Change

No Change/Not Applicable

**3.2. Has the EPP entered a contract with other providers for direct instructional services, including any teach out agreements?**

Change

No Change / Not Applicable

**3.3. Since the last reporting cycle, has the EPP seen a change in state program approval?**

- Change
- No Change / Not Applicable

**3.4. What is the institution s current regional accreditation status?**

**3.4.1. Institutional Accreditation Agency:**

Higher Learning Commiss ▼

**3.4.2. Institutional Accreditation Status:**

Accredited/Accreditation F ▼

**3.4.3. Does this represent a change in status from the prior year?**

- Change
- No Change / Not Applicable

**3.5. Since the last reporting cycle, does the EPP have any other substantive changes to report to CAEP per CAEP s Accreditation Policy?**

Please see Policy V.4.01 of the [CAEP Accreditation Policies and Procedures](#) document for CAEP's definition of substantive changes.

- Change
- No Change / Not Applicable

**AR Reviewer Question 3.1. Please provide feedback on the EPP's substantive changes, if any. Type "None" if no substantive changes were identified.**

*Insert text here ...*

---

**Section 4. CAEP Accreditation Details on EPP s Website**

**2025 Annual Accreditation Report : Annual Accreditation Report :**  
**Section 4. CAEP Accreditation Details on EPP s Website**

**Please update the EPP s public-facing website to include:**

1. the EPP's current CAEP accreditation status with an accurate listing of the EPP's CAEP reviewed programs, and
2. the EPP's reported data for each of the required CAEP Accountability Measures for the 2023-2024 Academic Year.

#### 4.1. URL Link for EPP's current CAEP Accreditation Status & Reviewed Programs

In the box below, please provide the direct URL link to the EPP's public facing webpage where its current CAEP accreditation status and a list of CAEP-reviewed programs are available.

<https://www.fairmontsta>

#### 4.2. CAEP Accountability Measures [2023-2024 Academic Year]

EPPs are required to provide data addressing the four CAEP Accountability Measures. These data must be collected or reported for the 2023-2024 Academic Year (September 1, 2023 – August 31, 2024) and be relevant to the requirements outlined below. Data should be clearly labeled to each of the four CAEP Accountability Measures and made publicly available with explanations that are easily understood by a general audience.

- **Measure 1 (Initial): Completer effectiveness. (R4.1)**
  - Data must address: (a) completer impact in contributing to P-12 student-learning growth AND (b) completer effectiveness in applying professional knowledge, skills, and dispositions.
- **Measure 2 (Initial and Advanced): Satisfaction of employers and stakeholder involvement. (R4.2, R5.3, RA4.1)**
  - Data provided should be collected on employers' satisfaction with program completers.
- **Measure 3 (Initial and Advanced): Candidate competency at completion. (R3.3)**
  - Data provided should relate to measures the EPP is using to determine if candidates are meeting program expectations and ready to be recommended for licensure. (E.g.: EPP's Title II report, data that reflect the ability of EPP candidates to meet licensing and state requirements or other measures the EPP uses to determine candidate competency at completion.)
- **Measure 4 (Initial and Advanced): Ability of completers to be hired (in positions for which they have prepared).**
  - Data provided may come from various sources, including state or EPP collected data related to completers' employment in teaching positions for which they were prepared.

##### 4.2.1. URL Link for EPP's Data Display of CAEP Accountability Measures - Initial-Licensure Level

In the box below, please provide the direct URL link to the EPP's public facing webpage where data for the required CAEP Accountability Measures for initial-licensure level programs are available. If the EPP does not offer initial-licensure level programs, then please leave this box blank.

<https://www.fairmontsta>

#### 4.2.2. URL Link for EPP's Data Display of CAEP Accountability Measures - Advanced Level

In the box below, please provide the direct URL link to the EPP's public facing webpage where data for the required CAEP Accountability Measures for advanced level programs are available. If the link is the same as for the initial-licensure level, please provide it again in this box. If the EPP does not offer advanced-level programs, then please leave this box blank.

<https://www.fairmontsta>

**AR Reviewer Question 4.1. Did the EPP provide a weblink that displays its current accreditation status and an accurate list of programs included during the most recent CAEP (NCATE/TEAC) accreditation review?**

- Yes  
 No

**AR Reviewer Question 4.2. Did the EPP provide a direct weblink to its website where the EPP's display of data for the CAEP Accountability Measures is available to the public?**

- Yes  
 No

**AR Reviewer Question 4.3. Are the CAEP Accountability Measures clearly identified and tagged? (Includes header identifying the CAEP Accountability Measures and sub-headings/tags to each of the four measures as defined by CAEP)**

- Yes  
 No

**AR Reviewer Question 4.4. Do the data, tagged to each of the four CAEP Accountability Measures, appear to be collected using appropriate data collection instruments/procedures for the relevant CAEP Component?**

- Yes  
 No

**AR Reviewer Question 4.5. Does the data for the CAEP Accountability Measures reflect data collected in the 2023-2024 Academic Year? (\*If data are currently unavailable, does the EPP provide a placeholder statement that details why data are unavailable, and an expected timeline for when updated data will be shared?)**

- Yes  
 No

**AR Reviewer Question 4.6. Has the EPP shared and explained the relevance of each of its data measures in a way that can be easily understood by the public?**

- Yes  
 No

**AR Reviewer Question 4.7. Has data been disaggregated and shared at the program level? Did the EPP separate its Initial Level Program data and Advanced Level Program data for the CAEP Accountability Measures? [\*Relevant to EPPs that receive/will receive CAEP accreditation at both the initial and advanced level.]**

- Yes  
 No

---

#### Section 5: Areas for Improvement and/or Stipulations

**2025 Annual Accreditation Report : Annual Accreditation Report :**  
**Section 5: Areas for Improvement and/or Stipulations**

**Summarize EPP activities and the outcomes of those activities as they relate to correcting the areas cited in the last Accreditation Action/Decision Report. The EPP will continue to report its action and progress on addressing its AFI(s) and/or stipulations until the EPP s next CAEP Accreditation Site Review.**

To write your summary, please click the edit button next to each AFI/stipulation to edit the AFI/stipulation. Once there, please provide narrative in the "2025 Annual Accreditation Report Summary/Narrative and Supporting Documents" box at the bottom of the page.

If you do not have any AFIs or stipulations listed, please mark this section complete.

**AR Reviewer Question 5.1. Has the EPP summarized its activities and the outcomes of those**

**activities as they relate to correcting the area cited in the last Accreditation Action/Decision Report?  
[As a reminder: The EPP will continue to report its action and progress on addressing its AFI(s),  
weaknesses and/or stipulations until the EPP's next CAEP Accreditation Site Review.]**

Yes

No

### AFI/Stipulation

## Section 6. EPP s Continuous Improvement & Progress on (advanced level) Phase-in Plans and (initial-licensure level) Transition Plans

2025 Annual Accreditation Report : Annual Accreditation Report :

Section 6. EPP s Continuous Improvement & Progress on (advanced level) Phase-in Plans and (initial-licensure level) Transition Plans

### 6.1. Summarize any data-driven EPP-wide or programmatic modifications, innovations, or changes planned, worked on, or completed in the last academic year.

This is an opportunity to share targeted continuous improvement efforts your EPP is proud of. Focus on one to two major efforts the EPP made and the relationship among data examined, changes, progress with any Transition Plans (initial-licensure level) and/or Phase-in Plans (advanced level), and studying the results of those changes.

The EPP worked on 3 main continuous improvement efforts during the 2023-2024 year. Family Engagement and Technology were identified as areas of focus upon review standards. Differentiated Instruction was identified based on assessment data as an area for improvement.

The EPP took several steps concerning understanding existing candidate preparation in Family Engagement and Technology use in connection to CAEP and ISTE standards. During the Spring semester, faculty of common Education courses met in multiple meetings to discuss how these two topics were covered in coursework as well as field experiences, mapping the inclusion across courses. Faculty then developed plans to strengthen these aspects within courses as appropriate. Such changes included adding expectation of family involvement in the creation of classroom management plans, adding expectations in earlier field experiences for communication with families, adding a family survey to Residency 1 expectations, adding guest speakers to the seminar course to focus on understanding the diversity of families in our region, and plans to add an electronic portfolio to support candidates in demonstrating technology inclusion. In addition to these meetings, the Coordinator of Secondary Education Programs organized meetings to discuss possible updates to curriculum in methods coursework to

support these initiatives. During the Spring Professional Development Schools Coordinator meeting, faculty met with coordinators in small table groups to discuss current expectations and use of technology in our P12 schools and how to engage candidates more with families. This information was used during summer work groups, which included faculty and P12 partners, to update field experience expectations and checklists.

The adoption of the West Virginia Teaching Performance Assessment (WV TPA) provided the EPP with new data to consider concerning the preparation of candidates. Early results demonstrated our candidates were not as strong in the area of Differentiated Instruction as other areas and our faculty selected this as an area of focus. During the Spring semester, faculty worked on common language discussions, including faculty from all program areas, and on ways to include this terminology more in methods courses. Additionally, during the EPP's summer work group, faculty and P12 educators worked to improve lesson plan directions to more specifically outline expectations for Differentiation in lesson planning. This will be monitored in assessment data to see impacts of these efforts and to evaluate if additional steps are needed.

Selecting areas of focus for continuous improvement based on standards and assessment data provided the EPP with tangible goals and supported the implementation of steps within coursework and field experiences which will hopefully demonstrate positive changes in preparation moving forward. Faculty were very receptive to making slight adjustments within coursework to support these initiatives and stakeholders were able to provide specific and meaningful feedback to the process.

**AR Reviewer Question 6.1. Has the EPP shared its continuous improvement initiatives, AND (if applicable) provided CAEP with an update regarding the EPP's progress on its advanced level phase-in plans and/or initial level transition plans?**

- Yes  
 No

#### Section 7: Feedback for CAEP & Report Preparer's Authorization

---

2025 Annual Accreditation Report : Annual Accreditation Report :  
Section 7: Feedback for CAEP & Report Preparer's Authorization

**7.1. [OPTIONAL] Does the EPP have any questions about CAEP Standards, CAEP sufficiency criteria, or the CAEP accreditation process generally?**

*Insert text here ...*

**7.2. Preparer's authorization.** By checking the box below, I indicate that I am authorized by the EPP to complete the 2025 EPP Annual Report, and that the details provided in this report and linked webpages are up-to-date and accurate at the time of submission.

I am authorized to complete this report.

I understand that all the information that is provided to CAEP from EPPs seeking initial accreditation, continuing accreditation, or having completed the accreditation process is considered the property of CAEP and may be used for training, research, and data review. CAEP reserves the right to compile and issue data derived from accreditation documents.

See [CAEP Accreditation Policies and Procedures](#).

Acknowledge

**Semester of EPP s next CAEP Site Review**

This is listed for informational purposes only. If you notice an issue with this information, please reach out to CAEP staff.

**Next Visit Date [Semester] - Initial-Licensure Level**

Fall ▼

**Next Visit Date [Year] - Initial-Licensure Level**

2025 ▼

**Next Visit Date [Semester] - Advanced Level**

Fall ▼

**Next Visit Date [Year] - Advanced Level**

2025 ▼

**AR Reviewer Question 7.1.** If the EPP asked any questions, please respond to the questions below.

*Insert text here ...*

**AR Reviewer Question 7.2.** The EPP report preparer indicated that they were authorized by the EPP to complete the 2025 EPP Annual Report and that the details provided in this report and linked webpages were up to date and accurate at the time of submission.

Yes

No